

STEP 2 CABY PROJECTS: IRWMP SCORING CRITERIA

Project Reviewer:

Project Title:

Total Score:

Comments:

NOTE: it is assumed that all projects that are sufficiently developed to rank have already been through the Step 2 application process and so have a consistently formatted and appropriate level of detail for their work plan, schedule and budget. Further, it is assumed that the projects have been fully integrated both with other projects and to address multiple water management issues, goal and objectives and that each sponsor has either adopted the IRWMP or a specific exemption has been granted by the PC. Technical analysis (i.e. scientific and technical merit) and Cost-benefit analysis (# 10 and 11, below) occurs part of project development for high-priority projects only– inability to perform well in these two sectors will result in a project withdrawn for high priority status.

CABY Criteria	Pass/Fail	Purpose of Question	Relation to State Criteria
Support for CABY IRWMP. <i>The project proponent must have formally adopted the plan.</i>	P/F	Demonstrates that the project proponent has formally adopted the IRWMP plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Adopted IRWMP Plan and Proof of formal adoption
Implementation of the CABY IRWMP. <i>The project must address the goals, objectives and strategies identified in the IRWMP.</i>	P/F	To fund projects that directly support and further the implementation of the regions water management goals and objectives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Consistency with IRWMP standards ● Objectives ● Priorities and Schedule ● Impacts and Regional Benefits ● Implementation

CABY Question No.	CABY Criteria	Range of Possible Points	Scoring Standard	Purpose of Question	Relation to State Criteria
1	Purpose/Need/Problems. <i>Scoring is based on how well the project addresses the issues, concerns and/or opportunities that is critical to the success of the IRWMP.</i>	1-6	A higher score indicates that the project addresses the major water related problems and conflicts identified by the CABY IRWMP.	Assists in prioritizing projects into the regional plan.	Unknown at this time. New guidelines are expected in late May of 2009
2 (new)	Project scale. <i>Scoring is based on two spate factors: whether the project as proposed will generate benefits beyond the immediate project site and/or whether the proposed study is necessary to allow for the systematic development of projects that will cumulatively provide a regional benefit</i>	1 - 6	1 - 2	A score of 1-2 would be assigned to projects/studies that	Encourages the development of projects that are applicable at a regional level
			3 - 4	A score of 3-4 would be assigned to projects/studies that	
			5 - 6	A score of 5-6 would be assigned to projects/studies that are essential to realizing long-term regionally impactful	
3	Partnerships. <i>Scoring is based on how well the project demonstrates partnerships through coordination, collaboration and public engagement.</i>	1-6	1-2	A score of 1-2 would be assigned to projects that involve one or more partners.	Encourages collaboration and organizational capacity building.
			3-4	A score of 3-4 would be assigned to projects that involve one or more partners from a local and regional interest.	
			5-6	A score of 5-6 would be assigned to projects that involve one or more partners from the local area, region, adjacent region or statewide perspective.	
4	Disadvantaged Community. <i>Scoring would be based on whether the project was directly benefiting a "disadvantaged community" as defined by the State.</i>	0-3	A score of one to three will reflect the projects benefits to the community. A score of zero will be assigned if the project is not benefiting a disadvantaged community.	Identify projects that benefit disadvantaged communities.	
5 (new)	Multiple Management Strategies. <i>Scoring is based on how well the project is able to respond to multiple management strategies as identified in the IRWMP</i>	1-4	A higher score will be assigned to those projects that include multiple strategies		

CABY Question No.	CABY Criteria	Range of Possible Points	Scoring Standard	Purpose of Question	Relation to State Criteria
6 (new)	Integration with Federal, State and Local Plans. <i>Scoring is based on how well the project integrates with other relevant local, state and federal plans.</i>	1-6	A higher score will be assigned to those projects that meet the IRWMP, local, state and federal water management objectives.	Encourages projects to assist in the implementation of local, state and federal plans.	
7	Multiple Benefits. <i>Scoring is based on how well the project results in multiple resource benefits.</i>	1-6	A higher score would be awarded to projects that simultaneously address more than one of the following CABY issues: water demand, water diversion, temperature, sediment, mercury, contamination, infrastructure, fire and fuels, groundwater, flooding, instream flow, habitat alteration, fisheries and aquatic biota.	Encourages projects to integrate strategies and provide multiple benefits.	
8	Data Management. <i>Scoring will be based on whether the project includes appropriate data management methods.</i>	1-3	A higher score would be assigned to a project that demonstrates a process for addressing current and on-going data accessibility, usability and storage as appropriate to the project.	Evaluate the ability to manage data for the project.	
9	Financial Management. <i>Scoring will be based on whether the project describes a feasible program of financing for implementation of project.</i>	1-4	Higher score based on documentation of firm financial commitments; clear resource commitments for ongoing monitoring, maintenance and operations; and a high percentage local match.	Evaluate readiness to proceed, clear financial commitments.	
10	Technical Analysis, Engineering and Scientific Merit. <i>Scoring will be based on whether the project is based on sound science, engineering and technical analysis, as appropriate to the project.</i>	1-4	A higher score would be assigned based on the submittal of detailed technical studies, process for adaptive management and analysis that document the project's engineering or technical merit and feasibility as appropriate to the project.	Evaluate readiness to proceed, project feasibility, and obtain documentation.	
11	High Cost/Benefit Ratio	1-6	Higher score for projects that demonstrate substantial benefits and effectiveness relative to cost.		